

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
PUBLIC UTILITY REGULAR OPEN MEETING

Thursday, July, 2023

Springfield, Illinois

Met pursuant to notice at 11:30 a.m. at
527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois.

PRESENT:

MR. DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Chairman

MICHAEL T. CARRIGAN, Commissioner

CONRAD REDDICK, Commissioner

STACEY PARADIS, Commissioner.

ANN McCABE, Commissioner (via Chicago office)

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES

BY: Michael Marciniak, CER

Notary Public

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Under the Open Meetings
2 Act. I call the July 13, 2023, Regular Open Meeting to
3 order.

4 Commissioners Carrigan and Reddick and Paradis
5 are with me in Springfield. Commissioner McCabe is
6 joining us from Chicago. We have a quorum.

7 We have no requests to speak today.

8 Moving on to our Public Utilities agenda.

9 There are edits to the June 15, Public
10 Utilities Regular Open Meeting Minutes. Are there any
11 objections to approving the minutes as edited?

12 (No verbal response.)

13 Hearing none, the minutes are approved as
14 edited.

15 Item E-1 concerns a Petition to reopen in
16 Docket 18-1540. Life Energy is seeking to reopen the
17 Docket for the purpose of determining how to effectuate
18 payment of penalty and consumer refunds contemplated by
19 the final order.

20 The ALJ recommends that the Commission
21 reopened the docket for this limited purpose. The ALJ
22 also recommends that the Commission direct the ALJ to

1 hold further proceedings to facilitate a final
2 resolution in the docket.

3 Are there any objections to granting the
4 petition and directing the ALJ to hold further
5 proceedings?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Hearing none, the petition is granted and the
8 ALJ is directed to hold further proceedings.

9 Item E-2 concerns a complaint against Com Ed
10 and Winona, Illinois. On October 21, 2021, Com Ed filed
11 a motion for summary judgment arguing that there are no
12 genuine issues of material fact in the case. The order
13 grants the motion finding that Com Ed's tariff precludes
14 the Commission from granting the relief sought by the
15 complainant.

16 Are there any objections to approving the
17 order?

18 (No verbal response.)

19 Hearing none, the order is approved.

20 Item E-3 concerns two motions for
21 Clarification by ChargePoint, and the AG in docket
22 22-0431/22-0443 consolidated, which is Ameren's

1 Beneficial Electricification Plan.

2 First ChargePoint's motion seeks clarification
3 on the final orders adoption of NEVI standards for
4 chargers receiving rebates under Ameren's plan. The
5 order grants ChargePoint's motion, clarifying that the
6 final order directs Ameren to require vendors to only
7 adopt portions of the NEVI rules proposed by the Clean
8 Jobs Coalition. The order reopens the docket for the
9 sole purpose to allow Ameren to re-file a compliance
10 filing that complies with the final order.

11 There are substantive edits to the order that
12 clarify the process for Ameren to re-file its compliance
13 filing. I move the edits. Is there a second?

14 Is there a second?

15 COMMISSIONER REDDICK: Second.

16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner Reddick.

17 Are there any objections to approving the
18 edits.

19 (No verbal response.)

20 Hearing none, the edits are approved.

21 Are there any objections to approving the
22 order as edited?

1 (No verbal response.)

2 Hearing none, the order is approved as edited.

3 Second, the AG's motion requests that the
4 Commission clarify whether Ameren's compliance filing is
5 consistent with the final order, and if it is not,
6 reject the filing and require Ameren to file a new one.
7 The ALJ recommends denying the motion.

8 Are there any objections to denying the
9 motion?

10 (No verbal response.)

11 Hearing none, the motion is denied.

12 Item E-4 --

13 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Mr. Chairman.

14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Mr. Chairman, excuse me,
16 I just want to clarify that the motion of clarification
17 is granted since the order on reopening.

18 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The motion for clarification
19 is granted. The motion for -- the AG's motion
20 requesting the compliance filing consistent with the
21 final order, that motion is denied.

22 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Thank you very much.

1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you. Item E-4 concerns
2 a petition to cancel a certificate to operate as an
3 agent, broker, or consultant. The order grants the
4 petition to cancel the certificate.

5 Are there any objections to approving the
6 order?

7 (No verbal response.)

8 Hearing none, the order is approved.

9 Item E-5 concerns a citation for failure to
10 meet part 454 of the Commission rules. The order
11 suspends Respondent's certificate of service authority
12 for two years.

13 Are there any objections to approving the
14 order?

15 (No verbal response.)

16 Hearing none, the order is approved.

17 Item E-6 concerns a citation for failure to
18 meet part 454 of the Commission rules. The order
19 revokes the Respondent's certificate of service
20 authority to operate as a retail electric agent, broker
21 and consultant.

22 Are there any objections to approving the

1 order?

2 (No verbal response.)

3 Hearing none, the order is approved.

4 Items E-7 through E-9 concern applications for
5 licenses to operate as retail electric agents, brokers,
6 and consultants in Illinois. The orders grant the
7 licenses, finding that the applicants meet the
8 requirements.

9 Are there any objections to considering these
10 items together and approving the orders?

11 (No verbal response.)

12 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

13 Items E-10 through E-14 concern applications
14 for certificates to install distributed generation
15 facilities in Illinois. The orders grant the
16 certificates, finding that the applicants meet the
17 requirements.

18 Are there any objections to considering these
19 items together and approving the orders?

20 (No verbal response.)

21 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

22 Items E-15 and E-16 concern applications for

1 certificates of service authority to install, maintain,
2 and repair electric vehicle charging stations. The
3 orders grant the certificates, finding that the
4 applicants meet the requirements.

5 Are there any objections to considering these
6 items together and approving the orders?

7 (No verbal response.)

8 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

9 Item E-17 concerns, a petition by Mount Carmel
10 seeking Commission approval for the renewal of debt.
11 The order grants the petition finding that the amounts
12 the company seeks are reasonably required.

13 Are there any objections to approving the
14 order?

15 (No verbal response.)

16

17 Hearing none, the order is approved.

18 Item E-18 concerns an application for
19 certification TO install energy efficiency measures.
20 The order denies the application, finding that the
21 applicant does not meet the requirements.

22 Are there any objections to approving the

1 order?

2 (No verbal response.)

3 Hearing none, the order is approved.

4 Items E-19 and E-20 concern petitions to
5 cancel certificates of service authority to install
6 energy efficiency measures. The orders grant the
7 petitions and cancel the certificates.

8 Are there any objections to considering these
9 items together and approving the order?

10 (No verbal response.)

11 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

12 Items E-21 through E-25 concern applications
13 for. Certifications to install energy efficiency
14 measures in Illinois. The orders grant the certificates
15 finding that the applicants meet the requirements.

16 Are there any objections to considering these
17 items together and approving the orders?

18 (No verbal response.)

19 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

20 Moving on to the gas items.

21 Items G-1 one through G-4 concern violations
22 of federal pipeline safety standards. Commission staff

1 and the parties have reached agreements for the parties
2 to pay a fine and undertake corrective actions. The
3 orders approve the agreements.

4 Are there any objections to considering these
5 items together and approving the orders?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

8 Item G-5 concerns a motion to withdraw by
9 People's Gas in docket 22-0323. No party in the docket
10 opposed the motion, and the ALJ recommends granting the
11 motion.

12 Are there any objections to granting the
13 motion to withdraw?

14 (No verbal response.)

15 Hearing none, the motion is granted.

16 Item G-6 concerns a complaint against People's
17 as to billing charges in Chicago. The order denies the
18 complaint, finding that the complainant had failed to
19 prove that she had been incorrectly billed by the
20 company.

21 Are there any objections to approving the
22 order?

1 (No verbal response.)

2 Hearing none, the order is approved.

3 Item G-7 concerns a complaint against People's
4 Gas in Chicago. The order grants the complaint in part,
5 finding that People's Gas improperly withdrew and
6 retained the amounts in controversy from the complainant
7 while this matter was pending. The order also finds
8 that People's failed to contact the complainant about
9 his estimated bills in a timely manner. The order
10 denies the other three allegations in the complaint,
11 finding that the Company met the requirements of the
12 commission's rules.

13 Are there any objections to approving the
14 order?

15 (No verbal response.)

16

17 Hearing none, the order is approved.

18 Item G-8 concerns the complaint against Nicor
19 in Orland Park, Illinois. The parties have filed a
20 joint motion to dismiss, indicating that all issues in
21 the case have been resolved. The ALJ recommends
22 granting the motion with prejudice.

1 Are there any objections to granting the
2 motion with prejudice?

3 (No verbal response.)

4 Hearing none, the motion is granted.

5 Item G-9 concerns a petition by Nicor seeking
6 an extension of the eligibility deadline for perspective
7 renewable gas RNG producers to enter an interconnection
8 agreement under Nicor's rate 81 pilot program. The
9 order denies the petition finding that there is
10 sufficient information to analyze the pilot program and
11 section 9-244, sub C proceeding.

12 There are substantive edits to the order. The
13 edits remove and modify language relating to the
14 commission's analysis and conclusion and denying the
15 petition.

16 I move the edits. Is there a second?

17 COMMISSIONER PARADIS : Second.

18 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Second by Commissioner
19 Paradis.

20 Are there any objections to approving the
21 edits?

22 (No verbal response.)

1 Hearing none, the edits are approved.

2 Are there any objections to approving the
3 order as edited?

4 (No verbal response.)

5 Hearing none, the order is approved as edited.

6 Moving on to telecommunications items.

7 Items T-1 through T-4 concern requests to
8 cancel certificates of service authority to provide
9 telecommunications services. The orders cancel the
10 certificates finding that cancelation will not deprive
11 any Illinois customers of necessary telecommunication
12 service. And is not otherwise contrary to the public
13 interest?

14 Are there any objections to considering these
15 items together and approving the orders?

16 (No verbal response.)

17 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

18 Items T-5 and T-6 concern applications for
19 certificates of wireless authority to operate as
20 resellers of telecommunications services throughout
21 Illinois. The orders grant the certificates, finding
22 that the applicants meet the requirements.

1 Are there any objections to considering these
2 items together and approving the orders?

3 (No verbal response.)

4 Hearing none, the orders are approved.

5 Moving on to other items.

6 Items 0-1 and 0-2 concern approval of batches
7 contracts and confirmations. Under the Illinois
8 Adjustable Block Program, and Illinois Solar for All
9 program.

10 Are there any objections to considering these
11 items together and to approving the program
12 administrator submissions?

13 (No verbal response.)

14 Hearing none, the submissions are approved.

15 That concludes our public utilities agenda. Judge T
16 Kingsley, do we have any other matters to come before
17 the Commission today?

18 JUDGE KINGSLEY: No Mr. Chairman.

19 Thank you. Any of the Commissioners have
20 business to discuss? Commissioner Paradis.

21 COMMISSIONER PARADIS : Thank you, Mr.

22 Chairman. I just wanted to announce and welcome I

1 filled up the advisors in my office. Most of you know
2 that Nick Drayer joined me from commissioner McCabe's,
3 office as senior legal and policy advisor. Nick's a
4 seasoned environmental policy advocate, most of you know
5 Nick and have had the opportunity to work together
6 previously.

7 Has undergraduate degree from Berkeley and
8 both a law degree and a master's in environmental law
9 policy from the University of Vermont law School.

10 Apologies, but also wanted to welcome Rachel.
11 Rachel started with us on July 3, she relocated
12 was previously working for Governor Walz in Minnesota.
13 We're excited to have her on track on the case she's
14 working.

15 She graduated from NC state and then has her
16 master's from the Humphrey School at The University Of
17 Minnesota, so will you join me in welcoming them to the
18 staff.

19 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Welcome. Glad you're here.
20 Thank you. Any other Commissioners have any business to
21 discuss?

22 Hearing none and without objection, this

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at
11:42 a.m.)



Michael Marciniak